

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/646,170

Applicant(s)

KIKUCHI, AKITO

Examiner

John Juba, Jr.

Art Unit

2872

All Participants:

(1) John Juba, Jr.

(2) Ms. Brenda O. Holmes.

Status of Application: allowed herewith

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3

Prior art documents discussed:

Yoshida, et al (U.S. Patent number 6,412,960)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the ~~Notice of Allowability~~. *Part II*
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SFE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Applicant's representative with a concern that he had mistakenly construed the claim too narrowly. Applicant's representative authorized entry of an examiner's amendment intended to clarify that the pair of arms are fitted to the engagement portion in a manner of holding the cylinder portion.